Reslaj: Religion Education Social Laa Roiba Journal

Volume 4 Nomor 3 (2022) 447-460 P-ISSN 2656-274x E-ISSN 2656-4691 DOI:_10.47476/reslaj.v4i3.789

Analysis of the Effect of Employee Engagement, Perceived Organizational Support, and Motivation on Employee Performance Study at PT Dino Jaya Karya

Didik Subiyanto¹, Epsilandri Septyarini², Khusniah³ ^{1,2,3} Tamansiswa Bachelor University diks@ustjogja.ac.id,¹ epsilandriseptyarini@ustjogja.ac.id², khusnia469@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This research was conducted with the aim of knowing the effect of employee engagement, perceived organizational support, and motivation on the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya. This research uses descriptive research with a quantitative approach. The data collection technique was carried out using a questionnaire media with the Likert method. The population in this study were 80 permanent employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya. The sampling technique used is using a saturated sample (total sampling). The total sampling obtained is as many as 80 employees from PT. Dino Jaya Karya. The t test shows that the employee engagement variable (X1) has a positive and significant effect on the employee performance variable (Y) with a value of 0.000, the perceived organizational support variable (X2) has a positive and significant effect on employee performance (Y) with a value of 0.000, and the motivation variable (X3 has a positive and significant effect on employee performance variable (y) with a value of 0.004. In the F test results employee engagement, perceived organizational support, and motivation have a simultaneous effect on employee performance as indicated by a significance value of 0.000.

Keywords: Employee engagement, perceived organizational support, motivation, employee performance

INTRODUCTION

Employee engagement as an employee's appreciation of goals and concentration of energy, which appears in the form of initiative, adaptability, effort, and persistence that leads to organizational goals. (Pringgabayu & Keizer, 2017)

(Dewi Cahyani Pangestuti, 2019; Nurhidayah et al., 2019) provides a definition of perceived organizational support reflecting the quality of employee relationships with the organization by measuring the extent to which employees believe that their organization values their contributions and cares about their wellbeing.

(Erwin Budi Setyawan, 2020) defines motivation as a mental condition that encourages one's soul to achieve maximum performance. Motivation strings attitudes

and values that influence individuals to achieve specific things according to individual goals.

Performance comes from English, namely "*job performance* or *actual performance*" which means work performance or actual achievement achieved by someone. Or the quality and quantity of work that an employee wants to achieve in carrying out his duties in accordance with the responsibilities given to him. (Valka et al., 2018)

The formulation of the problem in this research are:

- 1. Does *employee engagement* affect the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya?
- 2. Does the perception of organizational support affect the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya?
- 3. Does motivation affect the performance of employees of PT. PT. Dino Jaya Karya?
- 4. Does *employee engagement*, perceived organizational support, and motivation jointly affect the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya?

Based on the above statement, the hypothesis in this study is as follows:

H1: *Employee engagement* has a significant positive effect on the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya

H2: Perception of organizational support has a significant positive effect on the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya

H3: Motivation has a significant positive effect on the performance of PT. PT. Dino Jaya Karya

H4: *Employee engagement*, perceived organizational support, and motivation together affect the performance of PT. Dino Jaya Karya

The objectives of this research are:

- 1. Knowing whether there is an effect of *employee engagement* on the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya?
- 2. Knowing whether there is a perceived influence of organizational support on the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya?
- 3. Knowing whether there is an influence of motivation on the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya?
- 4. Knowing whether *employee engagement*, perceived organizational support, and motivation together affect the performance of employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya?

Employee Engagement

The use of employee engagement or what is often called *employee engagement was* popularized by Khan. Khan was the first to issue a theory of the link between work and *engagement*. (Saputri & Prabowo, 2015)

(Handoyo & Setiawan, 2017) suggests how to see the effect of employee engagement on employee performance based on 7 factors as follows: work environment, leadership, team and peer relations, training and career development, compensation, organizational policies.

Organizational Support Perception

(Putra et al., 2019) defines the perception of organizational support as a form of support provided by the work environment to an employee. Furthermore, the perception of organizational support is an emotional form from employees to their organization with a social emotional needs approach to assess the organization's readiness to reward efforts so that employees will form a commitment within the organization.

(Karimah & Misra, 2020) revealed that perceived organizational support can be measured through 4 indicators as follows: rewards, superior support, working conditions, welfare.

Motivation

(Bawono et al., 2019) argue that the term motive is the same as the words *motive*, motive, encouragement, reason and *driving force*. Motive is the driving force that drives humans to act or a force within humans that causes humans to act.

Increasing motivation through psychological empowerment there are 4 main dimensions that shape it according to (Rahmasari, 2011), namely: *Meaning, Perceived impact*, *Competence*. *Self-determination* is a person's feelings regarding choices in monitoring or regulating actions.

Employee performance

Performance comes from English, namely "*job performance* or *actual performance*" which means work performance or actual achievement achieved by someone. Or the quality and quantity of work that an employee wants to achieve in carrying out his duties in accordance with the responsibilities given to him. (Valka et al., 2018)

Stating (Handoyo & Setiawan, 2017) employee performance has 5 indicators, namely: work quantity, work quality, punctuality, attendance. The ability to cooperate, socialize and build relationships in cooperation to complete work.

Framework



RESEARCH METHODS

Nature of Research

The method used in this research is quantitative research which is classified as non-experimental, namely research that does not involve manipulation of variables or research subjects. (Maulana & Verawati, 2014)

Population and Sample

Population

According to (Lubis & Wulandari, 2018) the population is the entire research subject. The population in this study were 80 employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya.

Sample

According to Muliawan, (2017) the sample is part of the number and characteristics possessed by the population. The sample in this study were 80 employees at PT. Dino Jaya Karya.

Sources and Data Collection Techniques Data source

In this study the authors used the primary data collection method obtained from employees of PT. Dino Jaya Karya through a questionnaire.

Data collection technique

Avianto et al., (2019) By distributing questionnaires to see the reactions of respondents, this study uses a Likert Scale.

Place and Time of Data Collection

Data Collection Place

Data collection was carried out at PT. Dino Jaya Karya Jl. Drs. H. Anang Hasyim, Forestry Complex, Air Hitam, Kec. Samarinda Ulu, Samarinda City, East Kalimantan 75243.

Data Collection Time

Data collection was carried out on September 1, 2021 at 08.00 – 17.00 WITA.

Data analysis method

The data analysis method used in this study is as follows. Validity test

Validity test is used to determine whether a questionnaire is valid or not. (Chrisdiana & Rahardjo, 2017)

Reliability Test

Reliability is a measure of the stability and consistency of respondents' answers to problems related to each question item. If Cronbach Alpha> 0.60 then the statement is said to be *reliable*. (Muliawan, 2017)

Classic assumption test

Multicollinearity Test

Multicollinearity means that there is a perfect or definite relationship where some or several variables explain the regression line. (Solichin, 2018a) Heteroscedasticity Test

The heroscedasticity test aims to test whether in the regression model there is an inequality of *variance* from the *residuals of* one observation to another observation. (Solichin, 2018a)

Normality test

Through the normality test, it can be seen that P_Plot shows the data has a normal distribution and spreads along a diagonal line. It can be concluded that all regression models used in this study are feasible because they meet the assumption of normality. (S, 2018)

Data Analysis Techniques

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

This analysis is used to determine whether there is an influence and relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable. (Solichin, 2018a)

Test Statistics t

The t-test was conducted to partially test the first, second, and third hypotheses on employee performance. (Solichin, 2018a).

F Statistic Test

The F test is used to show whether all independent variables have a joint influence on the independent variables. The probability value is less than 0.05 then the regression model can be used to predict the dependent variable. (S, 2018) Coefficient of Determination Analysis (R $_2$)

The coefficient of determination basically measures how far the ability of the regression equation model to explain the dependent variable or is used to determine the contribution of the independent variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data Analysis Results

Validity Test Results

Validity test is used to determine whether a questionnaire is valid or not. A questionnaire is said to be valid if the questions on the questionnaire are able to reveal something that will be measured by the questionnaire. (Chrisdiana & Rahardjo, 2017)

Variable	Questions/Indicators	Pearson Correlation (R Count)	Validity Standard (R Table)	Information
	X1.1	0.782	0.220	Valid
	X1.2	0.776	0.220	Valid
Employee	X1.3	0.563	0.220	Valid
Engagement	X1.4	0.572	0.220	Valid
(X1)	X1.5	0.513	0.220	Valid
	X1.6	0.647	0.220	Valid
	X1.7	0.655	0.220	Valid
Organizational	X2.1	0.847	0.220	Valid
Support	X2.2	0.848	0.220	Valid
Perception	X2.3	0.874	0.220	Valid
(X2)	X2.4	0.897	0.220	Valid
Motivation (V2)	X3.1	0.704	0.220	Valid
Motivation (X3)	X3.2	0.674	0.220	Valid

Table 1. Validity Test Results

	X3.3	0.674	0.220	Valid
	X3.4	0.690	0.220	Valid
	Y1	0.556	0.220	Valid
Employee	Y2	0.878	0.220	Valid
Employee Performance (Y)	Y3	0.878	0.220	Valid
	Y4	0.641	0.220	Valid
	Y5	0.618	0.220	Valid

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 2, from the results of observations in rTable of a sample of 80, it is obtained by 0.220. Based on the results of the validity tests carried out, all the *employee engagement* variables, perceived organizational support, motivation, and employee performance all have a arithmetic value > rTable of 0.220. Therefore, it can be concluded that all items are valid.

Reliability Test Results

Reliability is a measure of the stability and consistency of respondents' answers to problems related to each question item. If Cronbach Alpha> 0.60 then the statement is said to be *reliable*. (Muliawan, 2017)

Employ Engagemen		Perception of Organizational Support (X2)		Motivation (X3)		Performance (Y)	
Reliabil Statisti	-	•••		Reliability Statistics		Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's	N of	Cronbach's	N of	Cronbach's	N of	Cronbach's	N of
Alpha	Items	Alpha	Items	Alpha	Items	Alpha	Items
0.675	7	0.887	4	0.611	4	0.748	5

Table 2. Reliability Test Results

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 2, the *Cronbach's Alpha* value of all research variables shows that it is greater than 0.60. Respondents' answers to the research variables are reliable, so that the question items are reliable and can be used in research. Classic assumption test

Normality

The normality test aims to test whether the data used are normally distributed regression data or not. (Solichin, 2018b)



Figure 1 Normality Test Results

Based on Figure 1, the *probability-plot* shows that the data spreads around the diagram and follows a regression model so that it can be concluded that the data in these variables are normally distributed. (Sustainable, 2018)

In addition to using the *Normal Probably Plot* (NPP) method, it can be seen by means of the *Columograph-Smirnov* analysis method. The standardized residual value is normally distributed if sig > than 0.05.

Table 3 (One-Sample	Kolmogrov-	Smirnov Test
-----------	------------	------------	--------------

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test						
_	_	Unstandardized				
		Residual				
Ν		80				
Normal	mean	.0000000				
Parameters a,b	Std. Deviation	1.91155601				
Most Extreme	Absolute	.083				
Differences	Positive	.083				
	negative	065				
Test Statistics		.083				
asymp. Sig. (2-t	.200 c,d					
a Test distribution is Normal						

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

d. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

Source: Primary data, processed in 2021 using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 3, it can be seen that the Asymp value. Sig of 0.200 > from 0.05 so it can be concluded that the data is normally distributed.



Figure 2 Normality Histogram Graph

Based on Figure 4.2, it can be seen that the line forms a bell/mountain curve and the value of Std. Dev. = 0.981 > 0.80, so it can be said that the regression model meets the elements.

Multicollinearity

The multicollinearity test aims to test whether in the regression model there is a correlation between independent variables. In this study, multicollinearity can be seen from the *tolerance* value and *Variant Inflation Factor* (VIF). (Sustainable, 2018)

			С	oefficients a				
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardize d Coefficients t		Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
			Std. Erro				Toleranc	
		В	r	Beta			e	VIF
1	(Constant)	9.13	2.173		4.20	0.00		
		2			2	0		
	Employee	0.22	0.089	0.322	2,53	0.01	0.566	1,76
	Engagement	4			2	3		7
	Organization	0.16	0.116	0.178	1.43	0.15	0.591	1,69
	al Support	6			2	6		3
	Perception							
	Motivation	0.18	0.081	0.228	2,31	0.02	0.945	1.05
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
a.	Dependent Varia	able: Emp	loyee Perf	ormance				

Table 4. Multicollinearity Test Results

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 4, it can be seen that all independent variables, namely *Employee Engagement* (X1), Perceived Organizational Support (X2), and Motivation (X3) used in this study have a tolerance value > 0.10 and a VIF value < 0.10. It means that the independent variables in this study were not found to have multicollinearity so that the independent variables could be used for research. Heteroscedasticity

Heteroscedasticity test is used to determine whether there is an inequality in the value of the residual deviation due to the size of the value of one of the independent variables. The test was carried out using the *scatterplot* test.



Figure 3 Heteroscedasticity Test Results

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Judging from Figure 4.3 the scatterplot above does not find a clear pattern and the points spread above and below or around the number 0 on the Y axis. So there is no heteroscedasticity in the regression model, so the regression model is feasible to use in research. (Wahyuningtyas & Eka Askafi, 2018)

Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis aims to determine the effect of the independent variable (independent) on the dependent variable (dependent). (Lawasi & Triatmanto, 2017)

	Coefficients a							
	Uns	standardized	Standardized					
Model	C	oefficients	Coefficients	t	Sig.			
	В	Std. Error	Beta					
1 (Constant	t) 9.132	2 2.173		4.202	0.000			
Employe	e 0.224	4 0.089	0.322	2,532	0.013			
Engagem	ent							
Organiza	tional 0.16	6 0.116	0.178	1.432	0.156			
Support								
Perceptio	n							
Motivatio	on 0.18'	7 0.081	0.228	2,319	0.023			

Table 5 Results of Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Performance

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

From these data, it can be seen that the *Employee Engagement* coefficient value is 0.322 which means that if the *Employee Engagement* variable increases, then the employee's performance increases. then the coefficient of perceived organizational support is 0.178 which means that if the perceived organizational support variable increases, then employee performance increases. Furthermore, the value of the motivation coefficient is 0.228, meaning that if the motivation variable increases, then the employee's performance increases.

Hypothesis testing

The partial hypothesis testing in this study uses the t test, and the simultaneous hypothesis testing in this study uses the f statistic test. (Agustyna & Partono Prasetio, 2020)

t test (Partial)

The t-test basically shows how far the influence of one explanatory variable or independently individually in explaining the variation of the dependent or independent variable. (Decky, 2018)

	Coefficients ^a						
	Model		ndardized fficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			
1	(Constant)	11.385	2,061		5.523	0.000	
	Employee	0.339	0.069	0.488	4.937	0.000	
	Engagement						

Table 6. Results of t-test (partial) Employee Engagement

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Performance

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 6, the results of the t-test (partial) show that the significance value of the influence of *Employee Engagement* (X1) on employee performance (Y)

is 0.000 < 0.05 and the t-count value is 4.937 > the t-table value is 1.992. There is a significant effect of *Employee Engagement* on employee performance.

Coefficients ^a Unstandardized Standardized Model Coefficients Coefficients Т Sig. Std. Error Beta B 1 (Constant) 15,096 1.646 9.171 0.000 Organizational 0.379 0.096 0.407 3,931 0.000 Support Perception

 Table 7. Results of t-test (Partial) Perception of Organizational Support

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Performance

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 7, the results of the t-test (partial) show that the significance value of the perceived influence of Organizational Support (X2) on employee performance (Y) is 0.000 < 0.05 and the t-count value is 3.931 > the t-table value is 1.992. There is a significant effect of perceived organizational support on employee performance.

Table 8. Results of t-test (partial) Motivation

	Coefficients ^a							
		Unsta	ndardized	Standardized				
	Model	Coet	fficients	Coefficients	Т	Sig.		
		В	Std. Error	Beta				
1	(Constant)	17,485	1.372		12,741	0.000		
	Motivation	0.261	0.088	0.319	2,973	0.004		

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Performance

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 8, the results of the t-test (partial) show that the significance value of the influence of motivation (X3) on employee performance (Y) is 0.004 < 0.05 and the t-count value is 2.973 > the t-table value is 1.992. There is a significant effect of motivation on employee performance. F Uii test

The F test is used to see whether all the independent variables have an effect simultaneously or simultaneously on the dependent variable. The probability value is less than 0.05 then the regression model can be used to predict the dependent variable. (Yuswardi, 2019)

Table 9. F. Test Rest	ults
-----------------------	------

	ANOVA ^a							
	Model	Sum of		Mean				
	model	Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.		
1	Regression	125,330	3	41,777	10,999	.000 b		
	Residual	288,670	76	3.798				
	Total	414,000	79					

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Performance

 b. Predictors: (Constant), Motivation, Perception of Organizational Support, Employee Engagement
 Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 9 above, it can be seen that hypothesis testing can be seen that the significance value for the variable *employee engagement* (X1), perceived organizational support (X2), and motivation (X3) is 0.000 < 0.05 and f count 10.999 > f table 3.12.

Coefficient of Determination Analysis (R ²)

Determination is denoted by r $_2$. This value indicates the proportion of the overall variation in the value of the dependent variable that can be explained or caused by a linear relationship with the value of the variable. (Hartanto et al., 2018)

Model Summary							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.550 ª	0.303	0.275	1.94892			

Table 10. Coefficient of Determination Test Results

a. Predictors: (Constant), Motivation, Perception

of Organizational Support, Employee Engagement

Source: The author's processing results using IBM SPSS 25

Based on table 10, the coefficient of determination R Square (R2) is 0.303 or 30.3%. This means that the percentage of *employee engagement* variables, perceived organizational support, and motivation on employee performance is 30.3% and the remaining 60.7% is influenced by other factors.

Discussion

The Effect of *Employee Engagement* on Employee Performance

The results of the hypothesis test state that the *employee engagement* variable has a significant positive effect on employee performance. as evidenced by the results of the t-test with a tcount value of 4.937 > a t-table value of 1.992 and a significance value of 0.000 < 0.05.

The Influence of Perceived Organizational Support on Employee Performance

The results of the hypothesis test state that the perceived organizational support variable has a significant positive effect on employee performance. as evidenced by the results of the t-test with a t-value of 3.931 > t-table value of 1.992 and a significance value of 0.000 < 0.05.

The Effect of Motivation on Employee Performance

The results of the hypothesis test state that the motivation variable has a significant positive effect on employee performance. It is evident from the results of the t-test with a t-value of 2.973 > a t-table value of 1.992 and a significance value of 0.004 < 0.05.

The Influence of *Employee Engagement*, Perceived Organizational Support, and Partial Motivation on Employee Performance

The results of the hypothesis test state that the variables of *employee engagement*, perceived organizational support, and motivation have a simultaneous effect on employee performance. It is evident from the results of the simultaneous F test with a value of fcount 10.999 > f table 3.12 and a significance value of 0.000 <0.05.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

Based on the results of the discussion in the previous chapter, it can be concluded as follows:

- 1. Employee Engagement has a significant positive effect on employee performance.
- 2. Perception of Organizational Support has a significant positive effect on employee performance.
- 3. Motivation has a significant effect on employee performance.
- 4. *Employee engagement*, perceived organizational support, and motivation have a simultaneous effect on employee performance.

Suggestion

Employee Engagement is perceived very well by employees, it can be concluded that the majority of respondents answered agree. This can be an illustration for the organization that it is proven in this study that involving employees can affect employee performance. Companies can use *Employee Engagement* to improve employee performance to be more active.

The perception of organizational support is felt quite well by employees, it can be concluded that the majority of respondents answered agree about the perception of organizational support, this can be a picture for the organization that is proven by the perception of organizational support can affect employee performance. Companies can use perceived organizational support to measure the level of employee performance and increase organizational support so that employee performance increases.

Motivation is perceived as quite good by employees, it can be concluded that the majority of respondents answered agree, this can be an illustration for organizations that employees still tend to not feel high enough motivation from the organization, because in this study it was proven that motivation can affect employee performance. Companies can use motivation to improve employee performance.

REFERENCES

Agustyna, A., & Partono Prasetio, A. (2020). THE EFFECT OF PERCEPTION OF ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT AND JOB SATISFACTION ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AT PT GREAT CITRA LESTARI Jurnal Mitra Manajemen (JMM Online). 4 (1), 28–38.

Avianto, B., Derriawan, & Tabroni. (2019). THE EFFECT OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND PERCEPTIONS OF ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT *ON EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT WITH QUALITY OF WORK LIFE AS INTERVENING VARIABLES*. 4 (1), 140–164.

- Bawono, MO, Asih, W., & Kurnia Astianti. (2019). *LEADERSHIP STYLE, WORK MOTIVATION, WORK DISCIPLINE AND PERFORMANCE: A CASE STUDY OF NON-PERMANENT EMPLOYEES IN SMP NEGERI 4 PAKEM*. 9 (2), 300–315.
- Chrisdiana, L., & Rahardjo, M. (2017). *THE EFFECT OF EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT* AND WORK LIFE BALANCE ON TURN OVER INTENTION IN THE MILLENIAL GENERATION . 1–10.
- Decky, AW (2018). The Effect of Employee Engagement and Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance Through Organizational Commitment as an Intervening Variable at Grand Inna Malioboro Hotel Yogyakarta . Indonesian Islamic University.

Dewi Cahyani Pangestuti. (2019). The Influence of Perceived Organizational Support, Job Satisfaction and Affective Commitment to Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *Journal of Management Partners*, *2* (4), 273– 285. http://ejurnalmitramanajemen.com/index.php/jmm/article/view/125/69

- Erwin Budi Setyawan. (2020). *EFFECT ON WORK DISCIPLINE AND MOTIVATION ON* OCCUPATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS (CASE STUDY IN YOGYAKARTA POLYTECHNIC) . 10 (1), 70–78.
- Handoyo, AW, & Setiawan, R. (2017). The Effect of Employee Engagement on Employee Performance at PT. Tirta Fortune Dewata. *Agora*, *5*(1), 1–8.
- Hartanto, Hendriani, S., & Maulida, Y. (2018). Analysis of the influence of working conditions, work motivation, and work discipline on the performance of regional office employees of the Directorate General of State Assets Riau, West Sumatra, and Riau Islands. *Tpak Business Management*, *X* (2), 226–241.
- Karimah, PN, & Misra, F. (2020). The Role Of Perceived Organization Support In Supporting Local Governments' Tax Apparatus To Optimize Local Tax Revenue . 4 , 47–65.

Lawasi, ES, & Triatmanto, B. (2017). Effect of Communication, Motivation and Teamwork on Employee Performance Improvement. *JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT & ENTREPRENEURSHIP*, 5 (1), 2374–2376.

Lestari, NEP (2018). The Influence of Motivation, Competence, Leadership and Work Environment on Employee Performance At PT. Geotech Systems Indonesia. *Monetary*, 5 (1), 99–104. http://ejournal.bsi.ac.id

Lubis, AS, & Wulandari, S. (2018). The Influence of Employee Engagement and Leadership on Employee Performance at the Department of Tourism, Culture, Youth and Sports, Serdang Bedagai Regency. *Journal of Management And Finance*, 7 (1), 82–89. https://doi.org/10.33059/jmk.v7i1.749

Maulana, H., & Verawati. (2014). The Effect of Transformational Leadership Style on Employee Engagement: A Study At Pt. Pertamina (Persero). *JPPP - Journal of Psychological Research And Measurement*, *3* (2), 47– 51. https://doi.org/10.21009/jppp.032.01

- Muliawan, D. (2017). Employee Engagement (Employee Engagement) Against Employee Performance at PT. New Badja Palembang. *Scientific Journal of Business and Applied Management Year XIV No. 2, October 2017 , 2 ,* 69–78.
- Nurhidayah, V., Utari, W., & Hartati, CS (2019). THE INFLUENCE OF PERCEPTIONS OF ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT, JOB SATISFACTION AND AFFECTIVE COMMITMENTS ON ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR. *Journal of Management Partners*, 2 (4), 273–285. http://ejurnalmitramanajemen.com/index.php/jmm/article/view/125/69
- Pringgabayu, D., & Keizer, H. De. (2017). Implementation of a Recruitment System to Improve Employee Engagement. *Maranatha Journal of Management*, *16*, 167– 176.
- Putra, YTD, Taba, MI, & Sobarsyah, M. (2019). The Influence of Motivation and Perceived Organizational Support on Commitment and Performance in Employee Performance Improvement Strategies (Case Study of PT Vale Indonesia Tbk). *Hasanuddin Journal of Applied Business and Entrepreneurship*, 2 (2), 28–38.
- Rahmasari, L. (2011). Increasing Motivation Through Psychological Empowerment And Its Impact On Employee Performance. *Scientific Informatics Magazine*, *2* (1), 57–67.
- S, A. (2018). The Influence of Work Environment, Leadership, Compensation and Job Training on Employee Engagement in Star Hotels in Batam. *Jesya (Journal of Sharia Economics & Economics)*, 2 (1), 96– 107. https://doi.org/10.36778/jesya.v2i1.34
- Saputri, KE, & Prabowo, S. (2015). Employee Engagement in terms of Perception of Workload. *Psychodimensia*, 14 (1), 97– 115. http://journal.unika.ac.id/index.php/psi/article/view/376
- Solichin, MR (2018a). Analysis of the Effect of Employee Engagement, Emotional Intelligence, and Commitment to Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) on PO Efficiency Kebumen Employees. *Journal of Economics and Informatics Engineering STIE Putra Bangsa*, 6 (2), 36–47.
- Solichin, MR (2018b). Analysis of the Effect of Employee Engagement, Emotional Intelligence, and Commitment to Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) on PO Efficiency Employees of the Kebumen Branch. 6 (2), 36–47.
- Valka, R., Indrawati, NS, Hayati, YH, Economics, F., Pakuan, U., Chair, D., Supervisor, K., Economics, F., & Pakuan, U. (2018). *Recruitment Relationship With Employee Performance at PT . Corpora Core Expert*. 1–12.
- Wahyuningtyas, H., & Eka Askafi. (2018). *The Influence of Transformational* Leadership, Motivation and Placement on Employee Engagement for Generation Y and Z Employees of KP Pratama Kediri . 7, 347–354.
- Yuswardi, Y. (2019). Analysis of Factors Affecting Employee Engagement in Star Hotels in Batam. *Jesya (Journal of Islamic Economics & Economics)*, *2* (2), 394– 405. https://doi.org/10.36778/jesya.v2i2.114